

PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY OR ATTORNEY STATE BAR NO.: [REDACTED] NAME: [REDACTED] FIRM NAME: [REDACTED] STREET ADDRESS: [REDACTED] CITY: [REDACTED] STATE: [REDACTED] ZIP CODE: [REDACTED] TELEPHONE NO.: [REDACTED] FAX NO.: [REDACTED] E-MAIL ADDRESS: [REDACTED] ATTORNEY FOR (name): [REDACTED]	FOR COURT USE ONLY CONFIDENTIAL
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: [REDACTED] MAILING ADDRESS: [REDACTED] CITY AND ZIP CODE: [REDACTED] BRANCH NAME: [REDACTED]	
CASE NAME: [REDACTED]	
SPECIAL IMMIGRANT JUVENILE FINDINGS	CASE NUMBER: [REDACTED]

1. Child's name: [REDACTED] Date of birth: [REDACTED]
2. The petition or request for Special Immigrant Juvenile (SIJ) findings was heard
 - a. Date of hearing: [REDACTED] Time: [REDACTED] Dept.: [REDACTED] Room: [REDACTED]
 - b. Judicial officer (name): [REDACTED]
 - c. Persons and attorneys present (names):
 Minor Petitioner: [REDACTED]
 Respondent: [REDACTED]
 Minor Petitioner's Attorney: [REDACTED]

The court has reviewed the evidence and finds the following:

3. Notice of the underlying proceeding was given as required by law.
 4. a. The child was declared a dependent of the juvenile court of the county of (specify):
 on (date): [REDACTED] and remains under the court's jurisdiction.
- OR**
- b. The child was
 - (1) placed under the custody of an individual (name, unless confidential): Ramona Rivas Osorio
 - (2) placed under the custody of an entity (name):
 - (3) committed to a state agency or department (name):
 appointed by this court or another California court on (date):
 The custody or commitment order remains in effect.

Supporting legal conclusions or factual findings, if necessary:
 THE COURT FINDS that in accordance with California Family Code, Section 3048:

1. This Court has jurisdiction with regard to custody of Breidy Paola Osorio Rivas pursuant to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.
2. The responding party was given notice and an opportunity to be heard, as provided by the laws of the State of California.
3. The country of current habitual residence of Breidy Paola Osorio Rivas is the United States.
4. This order creates legal and physical custody rights and the parties are advised that a violation of this order may subject the party in violation to civil or criminal penalties, or both.

Additionally, THE COURT FINDS that it is in the best interest of Breidy Paola Osorio Rivas, as provided in California Family Code, Section 3020, to award sole legal and physical custody to her mother, Ramona Rivas Osorio. The Court finds that the minor's mother is Ramona Rivas Osorio and that her father is Juan Carlos Osorio Manzanares.

Continued on Attachment 4.

CASE NAME: [REDACTED]	CASE NUMBER: [REDACTED]
-----------------------	----------------------------

5. Reunification of the child with the mother the father the other legal parent is not viable under California law because of parental abuse, neglect, abandonment, or a similar legal basis (specify):

as established on (date): 10/17/2023, for the following reasons (for each parent with whom reunification is not viable, state the reasons that apply to that parent):

Under California law, a parent has abandoned his or her child upon leaving the child "without provision for reasonable and necessary care or supervision." (Cal. Family Code Section 3402(a).) Likewise, Welfare & Institutions Code section 300(g) echoes Family Code § 3402 in providing that a finding of abandonment can be issued if "[t]he child has been left without any provision for support." (Id., § 300(g)), and Family Code, section 7822(a)(1) authorizes proceedings if "the child has been left without provision for the child's identification by the child's parent or parents."

The death of [REDACTED] without financial or emotional support, and without "provision for reasonable and necessary care or supervision." (Cal. Family Code Section 3402(a).) This failure to provide for [REDACTED] care and support constitutes abandonment under the aforementioned code provisions and therefore reunification is not viable. (See Eddie E. v. Super. Ct. (2105) 234 Cal.App.4th 319, 322).

[REDACTED] last saw [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was brutally murdered in [REDACTED] by gang members. Accordingly, [REDACTED] has not provided [REDACTED] with any material or emotional support for over [REDACTED] years.

Continued on Attachment 5.

6. It is not in the child's best interest to be returned to the child's or parent's country of nationality or country of last habitual residence (specify country or countries): [REDACTED] for the following reasons:

Pursuant to section 155(a) of the California Code of Civil Procedure and section 3011(a) of the California Family Code, it is not in [REDACTED]' best interest to return to [REDACTED] because [REDACTED] health, safety, and welfare will be jeopardized in [REDACTED] family was threatened by gang members. Gang members murdered [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] brutal murder still frightens her. Thus, [REDACTED] is not able to reunite with [REDACTED] does not have anyone who can protect [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] lives in the United States.

Conversely, here in the United States, [REDACTED] lives in a neighborhood that is safe and free from violence. [REDACTED] is able to attend school and has been successful both academically and socially. [REDACTED] is making rapid progress in [REDACTED] study of English. [REDACTED] has received several achievement awards in school. [REDACTED] is safe and is supported by [REDACTED], who is focused on ensuring [REDACTED] continued health, safety and success. See Cal. Fam. Code § 3011(a).

Continued on Attachment 6.

Date:

JUDICIAL OFFICER
 SIGNATURE FOLLOWS LAST ATTACHMENT